



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,681	03/29/2004	Berthold Maiwald	41653-202339	1234
26694 75	590 12/21/2005		EXAM	INER
VENABLE LLP P.O. BOX 34385 WASHINGTON, DC 20045-9998			MAYES, DIONNE WALLS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/810,681	MAIWALD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dionne Walls Mayes	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Oc	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 October 2005</u> .					
<u>_</u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	-					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7 and 10-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,8,9 and 16-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	- · ·	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	ρ∏ I	(DTO 442)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)					

Application/Control Number: 10/810,681

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 8, 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Greve et al (US. Pat. No. 4,020,751).

Greve et al discloses all that is recited in the claims since it teaches a method for applying two types of paste (from two different sources) to a web of cigarette paper (see fig.1, and col. 4, lines 35-60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 8-9, 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greve et al (US. Pat. No. 4,020,751).

Regarding claims 3-5, while Greve et al may not specifically state that the paste is "intermittently applied", as by a rotary valve, or conveyed by a pump, these steps are not deemed to patentably distinguish the claims from the reference as it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have

provided for such application in order to effectively regulate the amount of paste applied to the web.

Regarding claim 6, while Greve et al may not state that any additive is added to the adhesive, it follows that one having ordinary skill in the ad would have opted to provide/add an additive, such as a surfactant, to maintain the desirable properties of the flowable adhesives while being retained in their respective reservoirs.

Regarding claim 9, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have maintained the respective paste sources at different pressures in order to properly apply the paste to the web since they possess different physical properties.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed October 7, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Applicant argues that the Greve et al does not disclose the steps of placing or positioning an applicator having at least two nozzles in a predetermined position along a predetermined course; however the Examiner disagrees. Greve at al discloses the application of glue, from two pasters, to a web. Therefore, the Examiner believes that Greve et al teaches "an applicator", having more than one nozzle. Just because the pasters (i.e. applicators) are "spaced apart", as Applicant asserts, does not mean that the "applicator" recitation has not been met. The Examiner believes that the pasters comprise "an applicator" of paste. Further, just because Greve et al teaches application of adhesive to directly adjacent portions of the web does not mean that it does not also

Application/Control Number: 10/810,681

Art Unit: 1731

apply adhesive to spaced-apart portions of the web, because the Examiner believes that this is also accomplished by the Greve et al applicator.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne Walls Mayes whose telephone number is (571) 272-1195. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,681

Art Unit: 1731

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dionne Walls Mayes Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

December 17, 2005